IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

PENICAUD ET AL.

Atty. Ref.: 5006-9

Serial No. 10/585,094

Group: Unknown

Filed: June 30, 2006

Examiner: Unknown

For: METHOD FOR DISSOLVING CARBON NANOTUBES AND THE USE THEREOF

April 17, 2007

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1540

Sir:

APR 1 7 2007

SUBMISSION

Submitted herewith is a copy of the French Search Report issued in corresponding FR 03/15582 and English translation of the Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority issued in corresponding PCT/FR2004/003383.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:

B. J. Sadoff Reg. No. 36,663

BJS:pp 901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

108	PA	ATENT COOPER	ATION TREA	TY A
From the Sylernational Searchin	NG AUTHOR	RITY		ANSI
To:				PCT
				RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR
		·		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		·	Date of mailing	See Form PCT/ISA,
Applicant's or agent's file reference	CP.		(day/month/year) FOR FURTHER	(sheet 2)
CP 61174PCT			FORFURTHER	See paragraph 2 below
International application No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/FR2004/0033	383	24.12.2004		30.12.2003
CENTRE NATIONAL				UE
1. This opinion contains in	ndications rela	ating to the following items		UE
This opinion contains in Box No. I	ndications rela	ating to the following items		UE
1. This opinion contains in	ndications rela Basis of the Priority	ating to the following items	s:	
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II	ndications rela Basis of the Priority Non-establi	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re	s:	UE ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned st	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned st	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re-	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. 1 Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned stapplicability Certain doc	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanatio	gard to novelty, invent 1.1(a)(i) with regard to an supporting such state	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned stapplicability Certain doc	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanation	s: gard to novelty, invent (1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such stat	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned stapplicability Certain doc	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanatio cuments cited fects in the international ap-	s: gard to novelty, invent (1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such stat	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII C. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international Preliminar than this one to be the left.	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned stapplicability Certain doc Certain deformational prelimity Examining IPEA and the	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanatio cuments cited fects in the international approximations on the international iminary examination is many examination exami	gard to novelty, invent (1(a)(i) with regard to a ms supporting such state plication made, this opinion will to that this does not applicate the International Bur	ive step and industrial applicability
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Contained the form of the property o	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned stapplicability Certain doc Certain deformational prelimity Examining IPEA and the hing Authority ovided above where appro	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanatio cuments cited fects in the international ap- servations on the internatio iminary examination is m the Authority ("IPEA") excep- the chosen IPEA has notified the will not be so considered the considered to be a written	gard to novelty, invent (1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state plication and application ande, this opinion will that this does not applicate the International Burthan opinion of the IPEA before the expiration	ive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ement If be considered to be a written opin only where the applicant chooses an Autheau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written of 3 months from the date of mailin
1. This opinion contains in Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Contained the form of the property o	Basis of the Priority Non-establi Lack of uni Reasoned st applicability Certain doc Certain obs national preli ry Examining IPEA and the hing Authority ovided above, where appro-	ating to the following items e opinion ishment of opinion with re- ity of invention statement under Rule 43bis ty; citations and explanatio cuments cited fects in the international ap- servations on the internatio iminary examination is m g Authority ("IPEA") excep- te chosen IPEA has notified by will not be so considered to, considered to be a writte opriate, with amendments, on of 22 months from the pr	gard to novelty, invent (1(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state plication and application ande, this opinion will that this does not applicate the International Burthan opinion of the IPEA before the expiration	ive step and industrial applicability novelty, inventive step or industrial ement If be considered to be a written opin only where the applicant chooses an Autheau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written of 3 months from the date of mailin

Facsimile No.

International application No.

PCT/FR2004/003383

Box	No. l	Basis of this opinion	
1.		h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it w I, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	vas
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
		, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (und	ler
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claim ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ned
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	Ш	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Add	litional comments:	

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003383

Box			Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability upporting such statement	7;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Clain	1-15	YES
		Clain	·	NO
	Inventive step	(IS) Claim	1-15	YES
		Clain		NO
	Industrial appl	icability (IA) Clain	1-15	YES
		Clain	-	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 02/088025 A (NEW YORK UNIVERSITY; SUN YI (US); WILSON STEPHEN (US)) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07)

1- Comment:

Claim 1, from the way in which it is worded, does not mention a particular solvent and, consequently, is not considered to be based on the description pursuant to PCT Article 6. This is because the description makes reference to the dispersion of carbon nanotubes in a polar solvent (page 2, line 34), suitable polar organic solvents being mentioned on page 3, lines 16-19.

Moreover, dependent claim 5 mentions the following information: "...characterized in that the polar organic solvents are..." and makes reference to polar organic solvents that have not been presented beforehand.

The expression "dissolution of carbon nanotubes" used in claim 1 introduces a lack of clarity in the

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003383

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

interpretation of this claim.

This is because the process presented in claim 1 may be considered as a process for the dissolution of nanotube aggregates or else as a process for the dispersion of carbon nanotubes in which, a priori the nanotubes retain their integrity.

2- Novelty:

Independent claim 1 proposes a method of dispersing carbon nanotubes in a solvent consisting, firstly, in reducing the nanotubes, resulting in negatively charged nanotubes combined with positive counterions.

No document of the prior art cited mentions such a process.

Consequently, the subject matter of process claim 1 and of its dependent claims 2-12, as well as the subject matter of application claims 13-15, is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3- Inventive step:

Document D1 is considered to be the closest prior art to the subject matter of claim 1. D1 mentions the difficulty of dispersing carbon nanotubes in most solvents, the difficulty being due in particular to the fact that they are in the form of aggregates (pages 2 and 3, paragraphs 4 and 5).

D1 reports that carbon nanotubes, treated by being dispersed in an electron donor compound, such as an

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003383

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

aromatic amine, then become dispersible in polar or non-polar organic solvents (pages 4 and 5, paragraphs 16 and 17). The reaction mechanism that occurs between the carbon nanotubes and an aromatic amine is proposed in D1, paragraph 39.

The difference between claim 1 of the present invention and D1 is that, in the present application, the method involves negatively charged nanotubes combined with positive counterions, whereas, in D1, the method uses a compound that acts as dispersion agent which in fact seems to form a complex with the carbon nanotubes (see D1, page 4, paragraph 10 and page 15, paragraph 39).

This difference leads to a method of dispersing carbon nanotubes that makes it possible to preserve their integrity and their properties.

The problem to be solved is therefore to propose an alternative method of dispersion that respects the carbon nanotubes.

D1, considered by itself or in combination with another document of the cited prior art, does not provide information which would allow a person skilled in the art to modify the method of D1 in order to arrive at a method according to claim 1 of the present application.

The subject matter of claim 1 does not follow in an obvious manner from the prior art.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 and of its

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003383

Box N	o. V	Reason	ned state ns and ex	ment u kplana	nder Rule 4 tions suppor	3bis.1(a)(i ting such	i) with re stateme	egard to no	ovelty,	invent	ive step or ind	ust ri al a	pplicability;	
									suk	ojec	ct matte	er o	f	
	claims	13	-15,	is	consi	derec	i to	invo	lve	an	invent	ive	step.	
													,	
											•		/	
													•	
,														
i														



RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE PRÉLIMINAIRE

établi sur la base des dernières revendications déposées avant le commencement de la recherche N° d'enregistrement national

FA 646938 FR 0315582

DOCL	IMENTS CONSIDÉRÉS COMME PI	Revendication(s) concernée(s)	Classement attribué à l'invention par l'INPi		
Catégorie	Citation du document avec indication, en cas de b des parties pertinentes	``			
A	WO 02/088025 A (NEW YORK UNIV YI (US); WILSON STEPHEN (US)) 7 novembre 2002 (2002-11-07) * alinéa '0002! * * alinéas '0015! - '0017! * * alinéa '0021! * * alinéa '0030! *	ERSITY; SUN	1,4,5, 7-10, 13-15	B01F1/00 C01B31/02 B82B3/00	
A	FENG WEI ET AL: "Fabrication films by controlling molecula processes between polyaniline multiwalled nanotubes and the characteristics" JPN J APPL PHYS PART 1 REGUL NOTE REV PAP; JAPANESE JOURNA PHYSICS, PART 1: REGULAR PAPE NOTES AND REVIEW PAPERS SEPTE vol. 42, no. 9 A, septembre 2003 (2003-09), pag XP001185692 * le document en entier *	r doping and soluble ir optical PAP SHORT L OF APPLIED RS AND SHORT MBER 2003,		DOMAINES TECHNIQUES	
A	US 6 187 823 B1 (CHEN JIAN E 13 février 2001 (2001-02-13)	T AL)		CO1B	
A	QIAO R ET AL: "Atypical depe electroosmotic transport on s in a single-wall carbon nanot NANO LETTERS, AMERICAN CHEM. S vol. 3, no. 8, août 2003 (200 1013-1017, XP002292244 ISSN: 1530-6984 * le document en entier *	urface charge ube" OC, USA,			
		rement de la recherche	Rigo	Examinateur Dindaud, B	
X : partic Y : partic autre A : arrièi O : divul	ATÉGORIE DES DOCUMENTS CITÉS culièrement pertinent à lui seul culièrement pertinent en combinaison avec un document de la même catégorie re-plan technologique gation non-écrite ment intercalaire	T : théorie ou principe E : document de brev à la date de dépôt de dépôt ou qu'à u D : cité dans la demar L : cité pour d'autres r	à la base de l'in et bénéficiant d'u et qui n'a été pul ne date postérien de aisons	vention ine date antérieure blié qu'à cette date ure.	

ANNEXE AU RAPPORT DE RECHERCHE PRÉLIMINAIRE RELATIF A LA DEMANDE DE BREVET FRANÇAIS NO. FR 0315582 FA 646938

La présente annexe indique les membres de la famille de brevets relatifs aux documents brevets cités dans le rapport de recherche préliminaire visé ci-dessus.

Les dits membres sont contenus au fichier informatique de l'Office européen des brevets à la date d25-08-2004
Les renseignements fournis sont donnés à titre indicatif et n'engagent pas la responsabilité de l'Office européen des brevets, ni de l'Administration française

Document brevet cité au rapport de recherche		Date de publication		Membre(s) de la famille de brevet(s)	ļ	Date de publication	
WO 02088025	A	07-11-2002	WO US	02088025 A 2003001141 A		07-11-2002 02-01-2003	
US 6187823	B1	13-02-2001	US US US US	2001016608 A 6331262 B 6368569 B 2001010809 A	81 81	23-08-200 18-12-200 09-04-200 02-08-200	